

March 15, 2021

The Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, Idaho, does hereby meet this 15th day of March 2021.

IN THE MATTER OF ROLL CALL)

Donna Atwood, Clerk, called roll call: Kirk Chandler, present; Lyndon Haines, present; and Nate Marvin, present. Also present was Washington County Weed Superintendent, Bonnie Davis and Kevin Kirby.

Nate Marvin opened the meeting and Bonnie Davis led the Pledge of Allegiance. Kirk Chandler gave the invocation.

IN THE MATTER OF CORRESPONDENCE/MEETINGS AND REPORTS)

Correspondence received by the Board was reviewed and disseminated as required.

The Board reviewed a letter received March 11, 2021 from Angel Wings Network, Inc. that discussed activities from 2020 and plans for the 2021 online auction.

Bonnie Davis presented the approved Idaho State Department of Agriculture 2021 Cost Share Award Agreement to the Board for signature.

Lyndon Haines moved, seconded by Kirk Chandler to allow the Chairman to sign the Idaho State Department of Agriculture 2021 Cost Share Award Agreement. Motion carried.

Kirk Chandler moved, seconded by Lyndon Haines to approve a demand warrant payable to K-Hardware for \$6.49. Motion carried.

The Board discussed the previously received letter from Delbert Skeesick regarding conditions at Steck Park. Chandler stated he had received a call from Brent Ralston with the BLM (Bureau of Land Management) and the road is in the Weiser Valley Highway District and the State of Idaho and Idaho Fish and Game manage the park. Marvin will draft a response to Skeesick.

Haines inquired if the other Commissioners had been in contact with persons who are interested in forming a Rural Fire Protection Area in the upper country.

IN THE MATTER OF MINUTES)

The minutes of the Board not heretofore approved of March 8, 2021 were approved as written.

March 15, 2021

IN THE MATTER OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY)

Robert Peterson, Information Technology Director, met with the Board. Peterson discussed updates made to the Exchange Server due to attempts to hack into servers worldwide. Scripts were ran to identify areas of compromise and found the County exchange server is in good working order.

Peterson reviewed the function of the Proofpoint spam filter for County emails with the Board.

Peterson reported the work at the Weed and Road and Bridge Departments fiber cable is near completion.

IN THE MATTER OF SOCIAL SERVICES)

Lorri Nakamura, Social Services Deputy Clerk, met with the Board.

Nate Marvin moved, seconded by to go into executive session at 9:14 a.m. in accordance with I.C. 74-206(1) (d) to consider records that are exempt from disclosure as provided in chapter 3, title 9, Idaho Code. Motion carried unanimous. Kirk Chandler, Aye; Lyndon Haines, Aye; Nate Marvin, Aye.

Nate Marvin stated they were out of executive session at 9:18 a.m.

Lyndon Haines moved seconded by Kirk Chandler to deny Case No. 2021-5 as the County is not the last resource, and the applicant is not medically indigent. Motion carried unanimous.

Lyndon Haines moved seconded by Kirk Chandler to approve the Social Services Deputy Clerk's reason for Approval or Denial. Motion carried unanimous.

IN THE MATTER OF CANVASS OF VOTES)

The Board reviewed the election results for the Payette Joint School District Election held March 9, 2021.

Lyndon Haines moved, seconded by Kirk Chandler to approve the Canvass of Votes for March 9, 2021 Payette Joint School District Election. Motion carried.

IN THE MATTER OF PLANNING AND ZONING)

Bonnie Brent, Planning and Zoning Administrator, met with the Board. Members from the public present were Mike Kaech, Jay Border, Cathy Kaech, Mary Lundin, Margaret Ann Border, Pascal Williams, Dean and Betty Darby, Larry Lundin, Duane Thiessen, Skip Duffy, Louise Duffy, Jeri Soulier, C. Hamm, Tina Stirm, Sam Lancaster, Lisa Collini, Tristan Winegar, Eileen Winchester, Mary Kay, Allis Chandler, Karen Shock, Sharon Robinson, Irv Leen, Brody Miller, and Charles Green.

March 15, 2021

Brent reported there were no new building permits issued in the past week. Brent stated she had spoken with Ed Salvi regarding Herd Districts within Washington County. Salvi had requested Brent to provide copies of her findings to him.

Brent reported that the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold Public Hearings on Tuesday, March 16, 2021 at 7:00 p.m.

Lyndon Haines stated he had requested time on the agenda to discuss the proposed changes as an action item during today's meeting. Haines informed the group that the Planning and Zoning Commission was presented with thirty-nine pages of proposed changes last year. Haines stated that the initial public hearing was scheduled for November 17, 2020 and was cancelled by the previous Board of Washington County Commissioners.

Lyndon Haines moved to withdraw the request to have the Planning and Zoning Commission review proposed code changes that were presented by the previous Board of County Commissioners. The motion was seconded by Nate Marvin.

Chandler stated the previously scheduled hearing was cancelled due to the COVID situation. Chandler stated that the hearing needs to be rescheduled since that is the democratic process. The Planning and Zoning Commission would hear the proposed changes and give the public the opportunity to weigh in on the ordinances as proposed. The hearing would not pass the proposed changes, but the process is for the public to have a say in what goes on in the county. Chandler stated he is aware that as a new Board, the Commissioners can change what has happened in the past and that is the reason for these ordinances; to change code that may not be working or is contradictory or issues with growth the county is experiencing. Chandler stated that the hearing needs to be rescheduled for Planning and Zoning. Chandler stated that the Planning and Zoning Commission has had two or three years to work on the changes and no changes have been made by the Commission.

Haines read from the Commissioners Minutes of November 16, 2020 where the Board agreed to cancel the originally scheduled hearing due to the COVID-19 status in the County. There was also discussion at that time of Open Meeting Law, persons being able to call in or have access to the hearing and testimony being audible during the hearing. Haines stated he understood Chandler's desire to have the proposed changes heard, but the Board on November 16, 2020 cancelled the hearing. Haines stated the hearing could have been postponed, and the word "cancelled" was used twice in the November 16 meeting. Haines stated he is keeping his motion as stated.

Chandler stated the purpose of cancelling the hearing was due to the governor changing the COVID restrictions with the intent of rescheduling the hearing.

Haines stated he believed that the minutes could have expressed the intent of the Board was to postpone the hearing instead of cancelling it.

Chandler stated the hearing was cancelled because of COVID and now it could be re-scheduled.

March 15, 2021

Members of the public wanted to comment.

Marvin stated the Board was not taking public comment as it was not scheduled as a Public Hearing.

Chandler stated this issue has come up in the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting where it was on the agenda as a discussion and Walker had stated that it was okay for the public to discuss the issue.

Walker stated the Board may take public comment, but it is not required during this type of meeting. Walker informed the group that the Idaho Attorney General's Handbook regarding Open Meetings allows for discussion, but it is not required. If it were a hearing on a land use issue such as a rezone or Special Use with an individual involved, you would have to allow the public to comment. Walker stated in a meeting such as this one, it is discretionary, and up to the majority of the Board.

Marvin allowed limited public comment.

Cathy Kaech from Midvale stated she had a petition with 110 signatures and could have more if more time. Kaech informed the Board she had called the Planning and Zoning office on Friday and was unable to get information on the discussion on today's agenda. Kaech stated she would like a hearing scheduled. Kaech told the Board she had attended multiple meetings in 2019 and 2020 and would like to be part of the process. Kaech stated Idaho is the fastest growing State and things need to be put in place so we do not look like Boise. Kaech inquired if the Board wanted the signed petition that reads:

“Citizen Petition – This petition is a request that the Dec. 17th 2020 hearing on the proposed changes to the planning and zoning code (that was cancelled due to Covid) be rescheduled and proceed as planned. This needs to happen to address issues with Washington County planning and zoning codes. It is the democratic process under the Constitutional Republic that we live in.”

Haines stated he was contacted by Mr. Kaech and had explained to him that the Board would be discussing proposed code changes today.

Cathy Kaech stated she would like to request that “the testimony of the last hearing at the Vendome” be part of the record. Kaech stated that those person's voices need to be heard.

Tristan Winegar addressed the Board. Winegar stated he is the President of the Washington County Farm Bureau. Winegar informed the Board that as of December 31st there are 945 members of Farm Bureau and that he represents those members. Winegar stated he would like this to go to hearing so their voices can be heard. Winegar stated he would not indicate the desire of those persons, but they want to be heard.

Mike Kaech from Midvale addressed the Board. Kaech stated he found out about the discussion on Friday. Kaech told the Board he called the Planning and Zoning office and Commissioner Marvin who were unable to provide information on the discussion. Kaech stated it appears to have been set up so the Board could do whatever they wanted to do. Kaech stated that was the reason people were at the

March 15, 2021

meeting today. Kaech stated the Board is to represent all of the people of the county, not just a select few.

Mary Lundin from Midvale stated she did not want to see the Commissioners acting like the current president and all the people who are railroading things through just because they think it is a good idea.

Allis Chandler informed the group that when the previous hearing was set, there were a number of people who had submitted written testimony. Chandler stated she hoped that as we go forward and hold a hearing, those testimonies will become part of the record.

Clerk Atwood clarified that all written testimony that was received from previous hearings is part of the record.

Bonnie Brent, Planning and Zoning Administrator, addressed the group. Brent stated that the Planning and Zoning Commission will have hearings on the proposed code changes. Brent stated that there are three sections that are ready to go through the hearing process. Brent informed the group that there will be plenty of time for the people to be heard. Brent stated the Commission will be going over all the sections of the code with proposed changes as time allows. Brent stated that the Commission is not trying to not allow the people a voice, but are trying to break it down into smaller pieces in order to address the issues.

Prosecuting Attorney, Delton Walker, stated that it is his understanding that the previously submitted proposals need to be broken down and reviewed in smaller sections in order to make revisions. There will be a hearing on the actual code changes that will give members of the public the opportunity to be heard. Walker stated maybe those in attendance misunderstood the eventual impact of Haines' motion. Walker reiterated that this is not a hearing, but there will be a hearing on the actual code changes, not whether or not the proposed changes will be heard all at one time. Walker stated that everyone in attendance will, if they follow his guidance, have the opportunity to be heard when specific code changes are being considered.

Chandler stated Haines motion was to do away with the hearing on the proposed changes.

Haines stated there are 39 pages of proposed changes.

Chandler stated he believed there are 29 pages of proposed changes and they need to go to hearing. The hearing gives the Planning and Zoning Commission the opportunity to have public input on what has been proposed. Chandler stated that Public Hearing requires publication of a notice two weeks in advance of the hearing. Chandler stated there has not been a scheduled public hearing to discuss the proposed changes.

Haines stated as code changes are addressed moving forward, the public will have every opportunity to come in and address the proposed changes. Haines inquired as to why 39 pages was thrown at Planning and Zoning. Haines stated his concern is when that is done, Planning and Zoning was unable to make

March 15, 2021

any decisions and it was not a helpful process. Haines stated that if Planning and Zoning has done nothing with the proposed changes for two years, maybe there is something wrong with the submitted changes. Haines suggested taking the issues one at a time, give them to Planning and Zoning, then it goes to the Board, and then Brent presents the proposals to the Commission. The Commission then submits suggestions back to the Board, the Board sends it back to the Commission, the Commission then holds a Public Hearing and then the Board would hold a Public Hearing. Haines stated that is how the process works. Haines stated that process can work and everyone can still have their voice heard. Haines stated it should be done “bite by bite”, instead of 39 pages at one time because that process has not worked. Haines stated he will keep his motion the same.

Haines reread his motion to withdraw the request to have the Planning and Zoning Commission review proposed code changes that were presented by the previous Board of County Commissioners.

Marvin stated that he agreed with Haines and the proposed changes should be addressed one at a time by the Planning and Zoning Commission and then brought back to the Board with recommendations instead of giving the Commission the entire packet at one time. Marvin stated the Commission members are frustrated and do not want to participate. Marvin stated that letters have been sent to members of the Commission. They are willing to come back to the table if some of the load can be eased from them.

Marvin called for a vote on the motion.

Haines Aye; Chandler Nay. Marvin abstained.

Marvin stated the proposed changes will go back to the Planning and Zoning Commission for review a section at a time.

Chandler clarified that if there is a tie, the vote fails.

Marvin stated he would vote in favor of the motion as a tie breaker.

Marvin informed the group that there will be discussions and a public hearing regarding the proposed changes in smaller portions so everyone has the opportunity to participate in the process. Marvin stated he wanted it to go through the process as it is in code.

Walker stated there are times when a controversial section can take a long time to review. There may be times when an extended amount of time is needed to review some sections of County Code. Walker suggested that a dedicated time or Special Meeting for the Commission to review the proposed code changes.

March 15, 2021

IN THE MATTER OF PROSECUTING ATTORNEY)

Delton Walker, Prosecuting Attorney, met with the Board. Walker inquired on the status of the Agreement for No Spray Zones within the County. Walker stated he had been working with Weed Superintendent, Bonnie Davis, on the Agreement.

Walker reported he will be working with Bonnie Brent, Planning and Zoning Administrator, on a response to questions regarding fencing in Herd Districts.

Walker informed the Board he appreciated the public's input during today's Planning and Zoning discussion. Walker stated there is a difference in a Public Hearing versus Legislative versus Quasi-judicial meetings. Walker stated that the Commissioners meeting today is completely different than a hearing on code changes or a rezone or something of that nature. Walker stated the public wants to be heard at everything but it is not always that way. It is not that way at the legislature or the court system, and it is not that way with County Commissioners. If the meetings were handled in that manner, you would have inefficient meetings and that would be difficult to get through. Walker informed the Board that it is okay to schedule a time to take public comment, but there are times when the Board should address the business before them. Walker encouraged the Board to make sure to handle meetings correctly. When the time is right, people will be allowed to provide their comments. Walker stated he believed Chandler had spent a lot of time and effort on the proposed changes but it has been difficult for Planning and Zoning to handle it all because there are areas that are controversial and that ties up the process. Walker informed the Board that it could likely take two full hearings to cover changes to the original parcel language in county code.

Chandler stated Planning and Zoning have been working on this for a long time and have not had an official hearing. If the County Commissioner meetings were held per State code, a Commissioner meeting is to conduct county business and not have public discussion as was allowed today. Chandler stated it was his understanding that the only time public comment is allowed is if the Chairman asked an individual a question. Chandler stated hearings are the time when the public has the opportunity to add their input and that is what the people who attended today want. Chandler stated that the people want the hearing to go forward in the official right manner of an advertised hearing. Chandler believes that it is wrong to say we are not going to allow this to go forward and string it out for an extended period of time. Chandler stated he had given the proposed changes to Planning and Zoning years ago and a hearing had never been scheduled until the one that was cancelled because of COVID. Chandler stated the hearing should be rescheduled.

Haines stated if he understood the process correctly, Planning and Zoning would hold the hearing once they feel comfortable with the information in front of them. Haines stated he got the impression from the Commission that they did not feel that their changes were being heard and the proposals were being pushed to the Commission. Haines stated that Planning and Zoning would have the hearing when they are comfortable with having one.

March 15, 2021

Walker stated the Commission had decided they did not want the language as proposed, but wanted to come up with some of their own language to be presented at a hearing. Walker stated because of the controversial nature and the bulk of the proposed changes the Commission could not settle on what language would actually go to hearing. Walker informed the Board that the Commission did not agree on the language of the introduction. Walker stated that Chandler is correct in a sense that the Commission has been unable to move forward and Haines is correct in the sense that they did not know what language to bring forward for a hearing. Walker stated that the Commission will have to come up with language that they are willing to present at a hearing.

Marvin agreed with Walker and concurred that the Commission has not been able to draft different language. Marvin stated he believed the Commission should be given one task or one section as Haines had stated and then try to get something done in a reasonable amount of time.

Chandler suggested giving the Commission the first ten pages of the proposed changes and have a hearing on that portion.

Walker told the Board the concept should be more section related because if you take pages that are across a variety of sections of the code it will be very difficult for the Commission to work through. He said the code needs to be reviewed by sections that are related in topic.

Chandler suggested setting a hearing date to begin holding hearings. Chandler stated that the Planning and Zoning Administrator had informed the Board that the Commission had agreed on three or four sections of the proposed changes. Chandler stated they should have a hearing on those sections.

Walker agreed that a hearing could be held on the sections that have been reviewed by the Commission. Walker informed the Board that a code change hearing should not be held on the same night as other landowner decisions. Walker reminded the Board that the Commission members are volunteers and after listening to landowner issues for an hour or more, they do not have the energy or mental endurance to go through a controversial code change hearing. Walker stated that the Planning and Zoning Commission had at one time, established work groups within the Commission, where they worked through the language in a section of code and everyone gave input. When the Commission agreed on the language it was then presented at a public hearing. Walker stated that seemed to be the most efficient method to work through the changes.

Haines inquired if the Board could begin allowing time on the agenda to speak with Planning and Zoning Administrator, Bonnie Brent, on certain areas of the code.

Walker stated that was allowed.

Haines stated he agrees with Chandler that there are certain areas in the code that can be changed, but he is concerned with throwing all the pages of the proposed changes at the Commission at one time. If the Board were to agenda time with Brent to address areas of concern, Brent could present those items to the Planning and Zoning Commission and let them start their process at "one bite at a time."

March 15, 2021

Walker stated that was correct, to review the changes a section at a time. Walker stated the Commission will likely have to hold special meetings in order to get through the land use hearings and the code changes.

Chandler stated he is okay with the Commission reviewing the code in sections, but it needs to get done.

Haines stated the Board needs to build trust with the Commission. Haines stated he thought the Commission believed they were getting things rammed down their throats.

Chandler stated he was giving the Commission suggestions and that it had been decided in the fall to have them hold a hearing to get public input.

Haines stated the Commission also needs to add their input as well.

Chandler stated the Commission could put their ideas in with the public at a hearing.

Haines stated the job of the Planning and Zoning Commission is to add their input before going to hearing.

Walker stated it is a two-step process. Walker informed the Board that it is not efficient if the Commission is still trying to decide on language when the public is giving comment. Walker stated it would be better for the Commission to present agreed upon language to the public and receive feedback on that language.

Chandler stated this is legislative information – ordinances changes – that the Commissioners can propose. Chandler stated it was given to the Planning and Zoning Commission so they would have the opportunity to look at it and see what they thought. Chandler stated the Commission had not done anything with it.

Walker stated the Commission has been trying.

Chandler stated that going to hearing may give the Commission some ideas. Chandler stated there may be some in the community who have suggestions on splits to an original parcel. Chandler stated he was okay with taking it one piece at a time, but a hearing needs to be scheduled and held in two or three weeks. Chandler stated that this should not be put off until the middle of the summer and then just do a few definition changes.

Haines stated the Board needs to work as a team with the Commission.

Chandler informed the group that he has been going to the Planning and Zoning Commission and explaining what the situation is and explaining why the changes are needed.

Haines stated he could not speak for the Commission. Haines stated he had attended Planning and Zoning meetings before he took office and it did not seem like it was a team environment. Haines stated

March 15, 2021

that it seemed that the Commission believed things were being pushed at them and the Commission was uncomfortable. Haines stated if the Board can build trust with the Commission they may be able get the ball rolling on something they have agreed to. Haines stated the Commission needs to be allowed to do their job. They do not need to be micro managed or feel the Board is looking over their shoulder constantly, telling them what to do.

Walker stated that in the past, the Board would come to a consensus and work with the Planning and Zoning Administrator, the Administrator would present items to the Commission, then the Commission would work through the language and then take it to hearing. For a Commissioner to go directly to the Commission causes problems. It is best to go through the Planning and Zoning Administrator. The administrator would explain the Board's thoughts to the Commission. Walker stated the pandemic has lasted a year and that is partly why hearings have not been held.

Marvin stated he would talk with Brent and request that she provide the Commissions changes to the Board for review. Upon completion of the Board's review, the changes will be sent back to the Commission so a hearing can be scheduled.

Walker stated that would be the most beneficial way to proceed.

IN THE MATTER OF PUBLIC HEARING - AMBULANCE)

Nate Marvin moved, seconded by Lyndon Haines to recess as the Board of Washington County Commissioners and convene as the Board of the Weiser Ambulance District. Motion carried unanimous.

Debbie Warren, Deputy Clerk, called roll call: Kirk Chandler, present; Lyndon Haines, present; and Nate Marvin, present.

The purpose of the hearing is to receive public comment regarding a proposal to the Idaho Department of Commerce for an Idaho Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) CARES in the amount of \$300,000.00. The proposed project is to purchase an ambulance by Weiser Ambulance District to serve residents within the boundaries of the District in Washington County.

Also present were Layna Hafer, Luke Smith, and Dodd Snodgrass.

Nate Marvin opened the hearing and read the Public Notice on the record.

Nate Marvin inquired if there was anyone who wished to make comment. No public comment was received.

Dodd Snodgrass with the Clearwater Economic Development Association gave an overview of the Idaho Community Development Block Grant and the process to be followed. Snodgrass stated the funding was appropriated through CARES 1 Act funding and made available to eligible communities for

March 15, 2021

coronavirus response and is based on low to moderate-income eligibility that the Ambulance District qualifies for. He stated the purchase of an ambulance is a qualified activity.

Nate Marvin closed the hearing at 10:38 a.m.

Lyndon Haines moved, seconded by Kirk Chandler to allow the Chairman to sign the Idaho Department of Commerce Community Block Grant Application. Motion carried.

Kirk Chandler moved, seconded by Lyndon Haines to select Clearwater Economic Development Association for grant administration services under the micro-purchase procurement. Motion carried.

Snodgrass stated the Commerce Committee will review the application in April to review the application.

IN THE MATTER OF AMBULANCE)

Luke Smith, Ambulance District Director, met with the Board.

Order No. 21-18 for general expenses in the amount of \$1,845.99 and Order No. 21-0012 for payroll expenses in the amount of \$24,694.03 were presented to the Board for review.

There was discussion on the repairs to the roof on the Ambulance Hall and reviewed documentation received from ICRMP (Idaho Counties Risk Management Program) regarding the repair. There was discussion regarding the responsibility of maintenance costs on the building.

Kirk Chandler moved, seconded by Lyndon Haines to approve Order No. 21-0012 for payroll expenses in the amount of \$24,694.03. Motion carried.

Lyndon Haines moved, seconded by Kirk Chandler to approve Order No. 21-18 for general expenses in the amount of \$1,845.99. Motion carried.

Smith reported the Ambulance District had thirty-four calls last week.

Nate Marvin moved, seconded by Kirk Chandler to recess as the Board of the Weiser Ambulance District and reconvene as Board of Washington County Commissioners. Motion carried unanimous.

Debbie Warren, Deputy Clerk, called roll call: Kirk Chandler, present; Lyndon Haines, present; and Nate Marvin, present.

IN THE MATTER OF ROAD & BRIDGE/SOLID WASTE)

Jerod Odoms, Road and Bridge/Solid Waste Supervisor, met with the Board. Odoms presented a demand warrant payable to Coley's Glass Company, LLC, in the amount of 298.96.

March 15, 2021

Kirk Chandler moved, seconded by Lyndon Haines to approve a demand warrant payable to Coley's Glass Company, LLC, in the amount of 298.96. Motion carried.

Odoms stated he had reviewed the Highway Development Standards documentation and had met with the Weiser Valley Highway District Commissioners who did not request any changes to the documentation. Odoms informed the Board that growth is addressed in the documentation. Work on Bruce Lane was discussed which is covered within the Highway Development Standards documentation.

Odoms told the Board the landowners on Bruce Lane would like to meet with the Board. Odoms stated there is a county right-of-way for an existing road. The level of maintenance is once a year blading. Odoms said the landowners would like to express their concerns regarding the improvements planned by one landowner. Odoms discussed the requirements listed in the Highway Development Standards documentation should a landowner decide to build additional homes in that area.

Chandler discussed the Comprehensive Plan, County Code, and splits from an original parcel.

Odoms discussed work on Cove Road which is a once a year maintenance road. Odoms discussed a gate that has been placed on Cove Road on a portion that is a County Road. Odoms informed the Board that he was not sure it had been approved to install the gate on Cove Road.

Chandler stated he had spoke with the landowner and believed the gate would be removed.

Odoms stated that Cove Road is also a limited maintenance road past the cattle guard.

Odoms discussed employee hearing tests results. One employee did not pass the hearing test. Odoms is recommending that employee wear hearing protection. Odoms will provide additional hearing protection training in the next thirty days.

Chandler stated he had spoke with Robert Bias on Seid Creek Road. Persons are parking on his property to snowmobile. Chandler informed Odoms there needs to be a recreational turnaround on Forest Service property to accommodate the snowmobilers.

IN THE MATTER OF WEISER MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PROPERTY PURCHASE)

David Belford and Kathy McDonald with Title One met with the Board. Belford presented the final title paperwork for the transaction on the sale of property to the Board.

Kirk Chandler read and moved to approve Resolution 21-08 allowing Washington County Commissioners to grant, bargain, or sell a portion of lots 1 through 3, Block 11 of the Plat of Hanthorn Tract to the Weiser Memorial Hospital District. The motion was seconded by Lyndon Haines with all in favor.

March 15, 2021

Kathy McDonald presented closing documentation to the Board for signature. McDonald stated all fees were split between the Weiser Memorial Hospital and the County. The Board signed the documentation.

Motion by Nate Marvin, seconded by Kirk Chandler and unanimously carried to recess at 12:13 p.m. until March 22, 2021 at 8:45 a.m.

Chairman, Board of County Commissioners

ATTEST:

Clerk

A full audio recording of the Commissioner Meeting is available at the Clerk's Office upon request.